**REMARKS** 

Claims 25-28, 31-32, 34, 36-38 and 41-51 are pending in the present application. Claims

25, 31, 36, 41 and 44 are independent.

The claims have been amended to clarify the invention and to correct minor informalities

according to U.S. patent practice. These modifications do not add new matter and are fully

supported by the original disclosure, for example, Figures 6 and 7 and the corresponding

description in the specification as filed.

**Personal Interview Conducted** 

Applicants appreciate the Examiner for the personal interview conducted on November

27, 2007 with Applicants' representative, and for the Interview Summary provided during the

interview. Based on the interview discussion, Applicants are hereby amending the independent

claims as discussed during the interview, which the Examiner has agreed to define over the

applied reference, Kim et al., as indicated in the Interview Summary. Thus, the below rejections

should be withdrawn and the application is now in condition for allowance.

35 U.S.C. § 102(e) and § 103 Rejection

Claims 25-28, 31, 32, 34, 36, 37, 41, 42, 44 and 45 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §

102(e) as being anticipated by Kim et al. Claims 38, 43 and 46-51 have been rejected under 35

U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Kim et al. in view of Takahashi. These rejections,

insofar as they pertain to the presently pending claims, are respectfully traversed.

7 EHC/ktp

Without acquiescing to any of the Examiner's allegations made in the Office Action to

Docket No.: 0465-1055P

reject these claims, but to advance prosecution only, the independent claims have been amended

as discussed during the interview. Particularly, the applied references do not teach or suggest,

inter alia, "the defect management information generated during the recording operation

includes position information of a defective unit and a corresponding replacement unit, and the

defect management information generated during the reproducing operation includes position

information of a defective unit and a corresponding replacement unit" as recited in the

independent claims. For instance, Kim et al.'s PDL includes addresses of defective sectors, but

does not include addresses of corresponding replacement units. Other distinctions were also

discussed during the interview. The Examiner agreed during the interview that the claims as

amended would overcome Kim et al. and the current rejections.

Accordingly, independent claims 25, 31, 36, 41 and 44 and their dependent claims (due to

their dependency) are pantentable over the applied art, and reconsideration and withdrawal the

rejections based on these reasons are respectfully traversed.

**CONCLUSION** 

For the foregoing reasons and in view of the above clarifying amendments, the Examiner

is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw all of the objections and rejections of

record, and an early issuance of a Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested.

Should there be any matters which need to be resolved in the present application, the

Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Esther H. Chong (Registration No. 40,953) at the

telephone number of the undersigned below.

8 EHC/ktp

Application No. 10/670,381 Amendment dated November 28, 2007

Reply to Office Action of August 30, 2007

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and further replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any

additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17; particularly, extension

of time fees.

Dated: November 28, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

Esther H. Chong

Registration No.: 40,953

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

Docket No.: 0465-1055P

8110 Gatehouse Road

Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

9

Attorney for Applicant

EHC/ktp